



Limit theorem for random walk in weakly dependent random scenery

Nadine Guillotin-Plantard, Clémentine Prieur

► To cite this version:

Nadine Guillotin-Plantard, Clémentine Prieur. Limit theorem for random walk in weakly dependent random scenery. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probabilités et Statistiques*, 2010, 46 (4), pp.1178-1194. 10.1214/09-AIHP353 . hal-00303698

HAL Id: hal-00303698

<https://hal.science/hal-00303698>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Limit theorem for random walk in weakly dependent random scenery

Nadine Guillotin-Plantard* and Clémentine Prieur†

22nd July 2008

Abstract

Let $S = (S_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a random walk on \mathbb{Z} and $\xi = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a stationary random sequence of centered random variables, independent of S . We consider a random walk in random scenery that is the sequence of random variables $(\Sigma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ where

$$\Sigma_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_{S_k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Under a weak dependence assumption on the scenery ξ we prove a functional limit theorem generalizing Kesten and Spitzer's theorem (1979).

Keywords:

Random walks; random scenery; weak dependence; limit theorem; local time.

AMS Subject Classification:

Primary 60F05, 60G50, 62D05; Secondary 37C30, 37E05

*Université de Lyon, Institut Camille Jordan, Bâtiment Braconnier, 43 avenue du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France. *E-mail:* nadine.guillotin@univ-lyon1.fr

†INSA Toulouse, Institut Mathématique de Toulouse, Equipe de Statistique et Probabilités, 135 avenue de Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France. *E-mail:* Clementine.Prieur@insa-toulouse.fr

1 Introduction

Let $X = (X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random vectors with values in \mathbb{Z}^d . We write

$$S_0 = 0, \quad S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ for } n \geq 1$$

for the \mathbb{Z}^d -random walk $S = (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generated by the family X . Let $\xi = (\xi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be a family of real random variables, independent of S . The sequence ξ plays the role of the random scenery. The random walk in random scenery (RWRS) is the process defined by

$$\Sigma_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_{S_k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

RWRS was first introduced in dimension one by Kesten and Spitzer (1979) and Borodin (1979) in order to construct new self-similar stochastic processes. Functional limit theorems for RWRS were obtained under the assumption that the random variables $\xi_x, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ are independent and identically distributed. For $d = 1$, Kesten and Spitzer (1979) proved that when X and ξ belong to the domains of attraction of different stable laws of indices $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $0 < \beta \leq 2$, respectively, then there exists $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$ such that $(n^{-\delta} \Sigma_{[nt]})_{t \geq 0}$ converges weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to a self-similar process with stationary increments, δ being related to α and β by $\delta = 1 - \alpha^{-1} + (\alpha\beta)^{-1}$. The case $0 < \alpha < 1$ and β arbitrary is easier; they showed then that $(n^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \Sigma_{[nt]})_{t \geq 0}$ converges weakly, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to a stable process with index β . Bolthausen (1989) gave a method to solve the case $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 2$ and especially, he proved that when $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a recurrent \mathbb{Z}^2 -random walk, $((n \log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma_{[nt]})_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies a functional central limit theorem. For an arbitrary transient \mathbb{Z}^d -random walk, $n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma_n$ is asymptotically normal (see [29] p. 53). Maejima (1996) generalized the result of Kesten and Spitzer (1979) in the case where $(\xi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are i.i.d. \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variables which belong to the domain of attraction of an operator stable random vector with exponent B . If we denote by D the linear operator on \mathbb{R}^d defined by $D = (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})I + \frac{1}{\alpha}B$, he proved that $(n^{-D} \Sigma_{[nt]})_{t \geq 0}$ converges weakly to an operator self similar with exponent D and having stationary increments.

One-dimensional random walks in random scenery recently arose in the study of random walks evolving on oriented versions of \mathbb{Z}^2 (see Guillin-Plantard and Le Ny (2007, 2008)) as well as in the context of charged polymers (see Chen and Khoshnevisan (2008)). The understanding of these models in the case where the orientations or the charges are not independently distributed requires functional limit theorems for \mathbb{Z} -random walk in correlated random scenery. To our knowledge, only the case of strongly correlated stationary random sceneries has been studied by Lang and Xanh (1983). In their paper, the increments of the random walk S are assumed to belong to the domain of attraction of a non-degenerate stable law of index $\alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 2$. They further suppose that the scenery ξ satisfies the non-central limit theorem of Dobrushin and Major (1979) with a scaling factor $n^{-d+(\beta k)/2}$, $\beta k < d$. Under the assumption $\beta k < \alpha$, it is proved that $(n^{-1+\beta k/(2\alpha)} \Sigma_{[nt]})_{t \geq 0}$ converges weakly as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ to a self-similar process with stationary increments, which can be represented as a multiple Wiener-Itô integral of a random function. Our aim is to study the intermediary case of a stationary random scenery ξ which satisfies a weak dependence condition introduced in Dedecker *et al.* (2007) and to prove Kesten and Spitzer's theorem under this new assumption.

In Guillin-Plantard & Prieur (2008) the case of a transient \mathbb{Z} -random walk was considered and a central limit theorem for the sequence $(\Sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ was proved. In this paper the one-dimensional random walk will be assumed to be recurrent.

Our paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce the dependence setting under which we work in the sequel. In Section 3 we introduce in details our model and give the main result. In Section 4 properties of the local time of the random walk are given as well as the ones of the intersection local time. Models for which we can compute bounds for our dependence coefficients are presented in Section 5. Finally, the proof of our theorem is given in the last section.

2 Weak dependence conditions

In this section, we recall the definition of the dependence coefficients which we will use in the sequel. They have first been introduced in Dedecker *et al.* (2007). Our weak dependence condition will be less restrictive than the mixing one. The reader interested in this question would find more details in Guillin-Plantard & Prieur (2008).

On the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m , we define the metric

$$d_1(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i - y_i|.$$

Let $\Lambda = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*} \Lambda_m$ where Λ_m is the set of Lipschitz functions $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the metric d_1 . If $f \in \Lambda_m$, we denote by $\text{Lip}(f) := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d_1(x, y)}$ the Lipschitz modulus of f . The set of functions $f \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$ is denoted by $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

Definition 2.1 Let ξ be a \mathbb{R}^m -valued random variable defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, assumed to be square integrable. For any σ -algebra \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{A} , we define the θ_2 -dependence coefficient

$$\theta_2(\mathcal{M}, \xi) = \sup\{\|\mathbb{E}(f(\xi)|\mathcal{M}) - \mathbb{E}(f(\xi))\|_2, f \in \tilde{\Lambda}\}. \quad (2.1)$$

We now define the coefficient $\theta_{k,2}$ for a sequence of σ -algebras and a sequence of \mathbb{R} -valued random variables.

Definition 2.2 Let $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of square integrable random variables valued in \mathbb{R} . Let $(\mathcal{M}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of σ -algebras of \mathcal{A} . For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{\infty\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\theta_{k,2}(n) = \max_{1 \leq l \leq k} \frac{1}{l} \sup\{\theta_2(\mathcal{M}_p, (\xi_{j_1}, \dots, \xi_{j_l})), p + n \leq j_1 < \dots < j_l\}$$

and

$$\theta_2(n) = \theta_{\infty,2}(n) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \theta_{k,2}(n).$$

Definition 2.3 Let $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of square integrable random variables valued in \mathbb{R} . Let $(\mathcal{M}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of σ -algebras of \mathcal{A} . The sequence $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is said to be θ_2 -weakly dependent with respect to $(\mathcal{M}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ if $\theta_2(n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$.

Remark : Replacing the $\|\cdot\|_2$ norm in (2.1) by the $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm, we get the θ_1 dependence coefficient first introduced by Doukhan & Louhichi (1999).

3 Model and results

Let $S = (S_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -random walk ($S_0 = 0$) whose increments $(X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ are centered and square integrable. We denote by \mathbf{P}_{X_1} the law of the random variable X_1 . For any $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\mathbf{P}(X_1 \in [-q, q])$ is non zero, we define the probability measure on \mathbb{Z}

$$\mathbf{P}_q = \frac{\mathbf{P}_{X_1}|_{[-q, q]}}{\mathbf{P}(X_1 \in [-q, q])}.$$

The random walk S is said to satisfy the property **(P)** if there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$\{x \in \mathbb{Z}; \exists n, \mathbf{P}_q^{(\star n)}(x) > 0\} = \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, if there exists some $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that the random walk associated to \mathbf{P}_q is centered and aperiodic then S satisfies the property **(P)**. For instance, the simple random walk on \mathbb{Z} verifies **(P)**. Let $\xi = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of centered real random variables. The sequences S and ξ are defined on a same probability space denoted by $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ and are assumed to be independent. We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of the following sum

$$\Sigma_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_{S_k}.$$

The case where the ξ_i 's are independent and identically distributed random variables with positive variance has been considered by Kesten & Spitzer (1979) and Borodin (1979). Consider a standard Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$, denote by $(L_t(x))_{t \geq 0}$ its corresponding local time at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and introduce a pair of independent Brownian motions $(Z_+(x), Z_-(x)), x \geq 0$ defined on the same probability space as $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and independent of him. The following process is well-defined for all $t \geq 0$:

$$\Delta_t = \int_0^\infty L_t(x) dZ_+(x) + \int_0^\infty L_t(-x) dZ_-(x). \quad (3.2)$$

It was proved by Kesten and Spitzer (1979) that this process has a self-similar continuous version of index $\frac{3}{4}$, with stationary increments. We denote $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ for a convergence in the space of càdlàg functions $\mathcal{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ endowed with the Skorohod topology.

Theorem 3.1 [Kesten and Spitzer (1979)] *Assume that the ξ_i 's are independent and identically distributed with positive variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. Then,*

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/4}} \Sigma_{[nt]} \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\sigma \Delta_t)_{t \geq 0}. \quad (3.3)$$

A simple proof of this theorem was proposed by Cadre (1995) (Section 2.5.a.) using a weak limit theorem for stochastic integrals (Theorem 1.1. in Kurtz & Protter (1991)). Applying Cadre's method, Theorem 3.1 can be extended to any scenery given by a stationary and ergodic sequence of square integrable martingale differences. Then, a natural idea is to generalize the result to any stationary and ergodic sequence ξ of square integrable random variables as it was done for the central limit theorem. Under suitable assumptions on the sequence, for instance the convergence of the series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \mathbb{E}(\xi_k | \mathcal{M}_0)$ in \mathbb{L}^2 , the scenery ξ is equal to a martingale differences sequence modulo a coboundary term and satisfies a Donsker theorem. However,

the RWRS associated to the coboundary term (if it is non zero) is not negligible. It can be proved that the \mathbb{L}^2 -norm of this sum correctly normalized by $n^{3/4}$ converges to some positive constant.

In order to weaken the assumptions on the field ξ we introduce a sequence $(\mathcal{M}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of σ -fields of \mathcal{F} defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \sigma(\xi_j, j \leq i), i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In the sequel, the dependence coefficients will be defined with respect to the sequence of σ -fields $(\mathcal{M}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem 3.2

Assume that the following conditions are satisfied :

(A₀) *The random walk S satisfies the property (P).*

(A₁) $\xi = \{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ *is a stationary sequence of square integrable random variables.*

(A₂) $\theta_2^\xi(\cdot)$ *is bounded above by a non-negative function $g(\cdot)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} x \mapsto x^{3/2} g(x) & \text{ is non-increasing,} \\ \exists 0 < \varepsilon < 1, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{3i}{2}} g(2^{i\varepsilon}) & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, as n tends to infinity,

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/4}} \Sigma_{[nt]} \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_i)} (\Delta_t)_{t \geq 0}. \quad (3.4)$$

Remark : Assumptions (A₁) and (A₂) imply that

$$\forall \lambda \in [0, 1/2[, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k|^\lambda |\mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_k)| < +\infty \quad (3.5)$$

Indeed, this sum is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_0^2) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k|^\lambda |\mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_k)|$$

and for any $k \geq 1$, from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_k)| &= |\mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \mathbb{E}(\xi_k | \mathcal{M}_0))| \\ &\leq \|\xi_0\|_2 \theta_2^\xi(k) \\ &\leq \|\xi_0\|_2 g(k) \end{aligned}$$

The result (3.5) follows by remarking that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k|^\lambda g(k) \leq g(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3/2-\lambda}}$$

which is finite for every $\lambda \in [0, 1/2[$.

Remark : If $\theta_2^\xi(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$ for some positive a , condition (A₃) holds for $a > 3/2$.

4 Properties of the occupation times of the random walk

The random walk $S = (S_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is defined as in the previous section and is assumed to verify the property **(P)**. The local time of the random walk is defined for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$N_n(i) = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{S_k=i\}}.$$

The local time of self-intersection at point i of the random walk $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is defined by

$$\alpha(n, i) = \sum_{k,l=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{S_k-S_l=i\}}.$$

The stochastic properties of the sequences $(N_n(i))_{n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(\alpha(n, i))_{n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are well-known when the random walk S is strongly aperiodic. A random walk who satisfies the property **(P)** is not strongly aperiodic in general. However, a local limit theorem for the random walks satisfying **(P)** was proved by Cadre (2005) (see Lemma 2.4.5., p. 70), then it is not difficult to adapt the proofs of the strongly aperiodic case to our setting: for assertion (i) see Lemma 4 in Kesten and Spitzer (1979), for (ii)-(a) see Lemma 3.1 in Dombry and Guillin-Plantard (2008). Result (ii)-(b) is obtained from Lemma 6 in Kesten and Spitzer (1979); details are omitted. Assertion (iii) is an adaptation to dimension one of Lemma 2.3.2 of Cadre's thesis (1995).

Proposition 4.1 (i) *The sequence $n^{-3/4} \max_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(i)$ converges in probability to 0.*

(ii) (a) *For any $p \in [1, +\infty)$, there exists some constant C such that for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha(n, 0)^p) \leq Cn^{3p/2}.$$

(b) *For any $m \geq 1$, for any real $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$, for any $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m$, the sequence*

$$n^{-3/2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \theta_k N_{[nt_k]}(i) \right)^2$$

converges in distribution to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \theta_k L_{t_k}(x) \right)^2 dx$$

where $(L_t(x))_{t \geq 0; x \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the local time of the real Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

(iii) *For every $\lambda \in]0, 1[$, there exists a constant C such that for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\|\alpha(n, i) - \alpha(n, j)\|_2 \leq Cn^{(3-\lambda)/2} |i - j|^\lambda.$$

5 Examples

In this section, we present examples for which we can compute upper bounds for $\theta_2(n)$ for any $n \geq 1$. We refer to Chapter 3 in Dedecker *et al* (2007) and references therein for more details.

5.1 Example 1: causal functions of stationary sequences

Let $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{Q})$ be a probability space. Let $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a stationary sequence of random variables with values in a measurable space \mathcal{S} . Assume that there exists a real valued function H defined on a subset of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{N}}$, such that $H(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_{-1}, \varepsilon_{-2}, \dots)$ is defined almost surely. The stationary sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defined by $\xi_n = H(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-2}, \dots)$ is called a causal function of $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Assume that there exists a stationary sequence $(\varepsilon'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ distributed as $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and independent of $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \leq 0}$. Define $\xi_n^* = H(\varepsilon'_n, \varepsilon'_{n-1}, \varepsilon'_{n-2}, \dots)$. Clearly, ξ_n^* is independent of $\mathcal{M}_0 = \sigma(\xi_i, i \leq 0)$ and distributed as ξ_n . Let $(\delta_2(i))_{i \geq 0}$ be a non increasing sequence such that

$$\|\mathbb{E}(|\xi_i - \xi_i^*| \mid \mathcal{M}_0)\|_2 \leq \delta_2(i). \quad (5.6)$$

Then the coefficient θ_2 of the sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$\theta_2(i) \leq \delta_2(i). \quad (5.7)$$

Let us consider the particular case where the sequence of innovations $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is absolutely regular in the sense of Volkonskii & Rozanov (1959). Then, according to Theorem 4.4.7 in Berbee (1979), if E is rich enough, there exists $(\varepsilon'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ distributed as $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and independent of $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \leq 0}$ such that

$$\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon'_i \text{ for some } i \geq k \mid \mathcal{F}_0) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{\varepsilon}_k \mid \mathcal{F}_0} - \mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{\varepsilon}_k}\|_v,$$

where $\tilde{\varepsilon}_k = (\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \dots)$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \sigma(\varepsilon_i, i \leq 0)$, and $\|\cdot\|_v$ is the variation norm. In particular if the sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is independent and identically distributed, it suffices to take $\varepsilon'_i = \varepsilon_i$ for $i > 0$ and $\varepsilon'_i = \varepsilon''_i$ for $i \leq 0$, where $(\varepsilon''_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an independent copy of $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Application to causal linear processes:

In that case, $\xi_n = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j \varepsilon_{n-j}$, where $(a_j)_{j \geq 0}$ is a sequence of real numbers. We can choose

$$\delta_2(i) \geq \|\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon'_0\|_2 \sum_{j \geq i} |a_j| + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} |a_j| \|\varepsilon_{i-j} - \varepsilon'_{i-j}\|_2.$$

From Proposition 2.3 in Merlevède & Peligrad (2002), we obtain that

$$\delta_2(i) \leq \|\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon'_0\|_2 \sum_{j \geq i} |a_j| + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} |a_j| \left(2^2 \int_0^{\beta(\sigma(\varepsilon_k, k \leq 0), \sigma(\varepsilon_k, k \geq i-j))} Q_{\varepsilon_0}^2(u) \right)^{1/2} du,$$

where Q_{ε_0} is the generalized inverse of the tail function $x \mapsto \mathbb{Q}(|\varepsilon_0| > x)$.

5.2 Example 2: iterated random functions

Let $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a real valued stationary Markov chain, such that $\xi_n = F(\xi_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n)$ for some measurable function F and some independent and identically distributed sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \geq 0}$ independent of ξ_0 . Let ξ_0^* be a random variable distributed as ξ_0 and independent of $(\xi_0, (\varepsilon_i)_{i \geq 0})$. Define $\xi_n^* = F(\xi_{n-1}^*, \varepsilon_n)$. The sequence $(\xi_n^*)_{n \geq 0}$ is distributed as $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and independent of ξ_0 . Let $\mathcal{M}_i = \sigma(\xi_j, 0 \leq j \leq i)$. As in Example 1, define the sequence $(\delta_2(i))_{i \geq 0}$ by (5.6). The coefficient θ_2 of the sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the bound (5.7) of Example 1.

Let μ be the distribution of ξ_0 and $(\xi_n^x)_{n \geq 0}$ be the chain starting from $\xi_0^x = x$. With these notations, we can choose $\delta_2(i)$ such that

$$\delta_2(i) \geq \|\xi_i - \xi_i^*\|_2 = \left(\int \int \|\xi_i^x - \xi_i^y\|_2^2 \mu(dx) \mu(dy) \right)^{1/2}.$$

For instance, if there exists a sequence $(d_2(i))_{i \geq 0}$ of positive numbers such that

$$\|\xi_i^x - \xi_i^y\|_2 \leq d_2(i)|x - y|,$$

then we can take $\delta_2(i) = d_2(i)\|\xi_0 - \xi_0^*\|_2$. For example, in the usual case where $\|F(x, \varepsilon_0) - F(y, \varepsilon_0)\|_2 \leq \kappa|x - y|$ for some $\kappa < 1$, we can take $d_2(i) = \kappa^i$.

An important example is $\xi_n = f(\xi_{n-1}) + \varepsilon_n$ for some κ -Lipschitz function f . If ξ_0 has a moment of order 2, then $\delta_2(i) \leq \kappa^i \|\xi_0 - \xi_0^*\|_2$.

5.3 Example 3: dynamical systems on $[0, 1]$

Let $I = [0, 1]$, T be a map from I to I and define $X_i = T^i$. If μ is invariant by T , the sequence $(X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of random variables from (I, μ) to I is strictly stationary.

For any finite measure ν on I , we use the notations $\nu(h) = \int_I h(x) \nu(dx)$. For any finite signed measure ν on I , let $\|\nu\| = |\nu|(I)$ be the total variation of ν . Denote by $\|g\|_{1,\lambda}$ the \mathbb{L}^1 -norm with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ on I .

Covariance inequalities. In many interesting cases, one can prove that, for any BV function h and any k in $\mathbb{L}^1(I, \mu)$,

$$|\text{Cov}(h(X_0), k(X_n))| \leq a_n \|k(X_n)\|_1 (\|h\|_{1,\lambda} + \|dh\|), \quad (5.8)$$

for some nonincreasing sequence a_n tending to zero as n tends to infinity.

Spectral gap. Define the operator \mathcal{L} from $\mathbb{L}^1(I, \lambda)$ to $\mathbb{L}^1(I, \lambda)$ via the equality

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{L}(h)(x) k(x) d\lambda(x) = \int_0^1 h(x) (k \circ T)(x) d\lambda(x) \quad \text{where } h \in \mathbb{L}^1(I, \lambda) \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(I, \lambda).$$

The operator \mathcal{L} is called the Perron-Frobenius operator of T . In many interesting cases, the spectral analysis of \mathcal{L} in the Banach space of BV -functions equipped with the norm $\|h\|_v = \|dh\| + \|h\|_{1,\lambda}$ can be done by using the Theorem of Ionescu-Tulcea and Marinescu (see Lasota and Yorke (1974) and Hofbauer and Keller (1982)). Assume that 1 is a simple eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} and that the rest of the spectrum is contained in a closed disk of radius strictly smaller than one. Then there exists a unique T -invariant absolutely continuous probability μ whose density f_μ is BV , and

$$\mathcal{L}^n(h) = \lambda(h) f_\mu + \Psi^n(h) \quad \text{with} \quad \|\Psi^n(h)\|_v \leq K \rho^n \|h\|_v. \quad (5.9)$$

for some $0 \leq \rho < 1$ and $K > 0$. Assume moreover that:

$$I_* = \{f_\mu \neq 0\} \text{ is an interval, and there exists } \gamma > 0 \text{ such that } f_\mu > \gamma^{-1} \text{ on } I_*. \quad (5.10)$$

Without loss of generality assume that $I_* = I$ (otherwise, take the restriction to I_* in what follows). Define now the Markov kernel associated to T by

$$P(h)(x) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(f_\mu h)(x)}{f_\mu(x)}. \quad (5.11)$$

It is easy to check (see for instance Barbour *et al.* (2000)) that (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) has the same distribution as $(Y_n, Y_{n-1}, \dots, Y_0)$ where $(Y_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is a stationary Markov chain with invariant distribution μ and transition kernel P . Since $\|fg\|_\infty \leq \|fg\|_v \leq 2\|f\|_v\|g\|_v$, we infer that, taking $C = 2K\gamma(\|df_\mu\| + 1)$,

$$P^n(h) = \mu(h) + g_n \quad \text{with} \quad \|g_n\|_\infty \leq C\rho^n\|h\|_v. \quad (5.12)$$

This estimate implies (5.8) with $a_n = C\rho^n$ (see Dedecker & Prieur, 2005).

Expanding maps: Let $([a_i, a_{i+1}[]_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ be a finite partition of $[0, 1[$. We make the same assumptions on T as in Collet *et al.* (2002).

1. For each $1 \leq j \leq N$, the restriction T_j of T to $]a_j, a_{j+1}[$ is strictly monotonic and can be extended to a function \overline{T}_j belonging to $C^2([a_j, a_{j+1}])$.
2. Let I_n be the set where $(T^n)'$ is defined. There exists $A > 0$ and $s > 1$ such that $\inf_{x \in I_n} |(T^n)'(x)| > As^n$.
3. The map T is topologically mixing: for any two nonempty open sets U, V , there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $T^{-n}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

If T satisfies 1., 2. and 3., then (5.9) holds. Assume furthermore that (5.10) holds (see Morita (1994) for sufficient conditions). Then, arguing as in Example 4 in Section 7 of Dedecker & Prieur (2005), we can prove that for the Markov chain $(Y_i)_{i \geq 0}$ and the σ -algebras $\mathcal{M}_i = \sigma(Y_j, j \leq i)$, there exists a positive constant C such that $\theta_2(i) \leq C\rho^i$.

6 Proof of Theorem 3.2

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is decomposed in two parts: first, we prove the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions of the process $(n^{-3/2}\Sigma_{[nt]})_{t \geq 0}$, then its tightness in the Skorohod space $\mathcal{D}([0, +\infty[)$.

Proof of the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions:

Since the random variable Σ_n can be rewritten as the sum

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(i) \xi_i$$

where $N_n(i)$ is the local time of the random walk S at point i , it is enough to prove that for every $m \geq 1$, for any real $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$, for any $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_m$, the sequence

$$\frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{k=1}^m \theta_k \Sigma_{[nt_k]} = \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \theta_k N_{[nt_k]}(i) \right) \xi_i$$

converges in distribution to the random variable

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_i)} \sum_{k=1}^m \theta_k \Delta_{t_k}.$$

We only prove the convergence of one-dimensional distributions. The general case is obtained by replacing $N_n(i)$ by the linear combination $\sum \theta_k N_{[nt_k]}(i)$ in the computations.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(S_k, k \geq 0)$ be the σ -field generated by the random walk S . For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $X_{n,i}$ the random variable $N_n(i)\xi_i$.

We first use a classical truncation argument. For any $M > 0$, we define :

$$\varphi_M : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x \mapsto \varphi_M(x) = (x \wedge M) \vee (-M) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varphi^M : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x \mapsto \varphi^M(x) = x - \varphi_M(x). \end{cases}$$

We now prove the following Lindeberg condition :

$$n^{-3/2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\varphi^{\varepsilon n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) \right)^2 \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \quad (6.13)$$

We have, for $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, for n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{-3/2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\varphi^{\varepsilon n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\xi_0^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi_0| > \varepsilon n^{3/4} / \max_j N_n(j)\}} \middle| \mathcal{G} \right) \frac{\alpha(n,0)}{n^{3/2}} =: \Sigma_1(\varepsilon, n) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta > 0$. We decompose the expectation of $\Sigma_1(\varepsilon, n)$ as the sum of

$$\Sigma_{1,1}(\varepsilon, n) := \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-3/4} \max_j N_n(j) \geq \eta\}} \mathbb{E} \left(\xi_0^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi_0| > \varepsilon n^{3/4} / \max_j N_n(j)\}} \middle| \mathcal{G} \right) \frac{\alpha(n,0)}{n^{3/2}} \right)$$

and

$$\Sigma_{1,2}(\varepsilon, n) := \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-3/4} \max_j N_n(j) < \eta\}} \mathbb{E} \left(\xi_0^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi_0| > \varepsilon n^{3/4} / \max_j N_n(j)\}} \middle| \mathcal{G} \right) \frac{\alpha(n,0)}{n^{3/2}} \right).$$

Using (ii)(a) of Proposition 4.1, $\Sigma_{1,2}(\varepsilon, n)$ is bounded by

$$C \mathbb{E} \left(\xi_0^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi_0| > \varepsilon / \eta\}} \right)$$

From assumption (A_1) of Theorem 3.2, for any $\kappa > 0$, there exists $\eta_0 > 0$ such that the above term is less than $\kappa/2$ for any $\eta \leq \eta_0$.

We now fix η equal to η_0 . Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\Sigma_{1,1}(\varepsilon, n) \leq \mathbb{E}(\xi_0^2) \mathbb{P} \left(n^{-3/4} \max_j N_n(j) \geq \eta_0 \right)^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\alpha(n,0)^2}{n^3} \right)^{1/2}$$

From assumption (A_1) of Theorem 3.2, (i) and (ii)(a) of Proposition 4.1, it follows that $\Sigma_{1,1}(\varepsilon, n) \leq \kappa/2$ for n large enough.

Since $\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\Sigma_1(\varepsilon, n))$ is decreasing, we can find a sequence of positive numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$, and

$$\mathbb{E}(\Sigma_1(\varepsilon_n, n)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad (6.14)$$

Let us now prove that it implies

$$n^{-3/2} \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) | \mathcal{G}) \right)^2 \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \quad (6.15)$$

For any fixed $n \geq 0$, and any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $N_n(i) \neq 0$, define :

$$V_{n,i} = \varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}/N_n(i)}(\xi_i) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}/N_n(i)}(\xi_i) | \mathcal{G})$$

If $N_n(i) = 0$, let $V_{n,i} = 0$. As for any fixed $n \geq 0$ and any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function

$$x \mapsto \varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}/N_n(i)}(x)$$

is 1-Lipschitz, we have for any fixed path of the random walk, for all $l \geq 1$, for all $k \geq 1$,

$$\theta_{k,2}^{V,n}(l) \leq \theta_{k,2}^\xi(l),$$

where $V_n = (V_{n,i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\xi = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(j) V_{n,j} \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(j)^2 \mathbb{E}(V_{n,j}^2 | \mathcal{G}) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}; j \neq i} N_n(i) N_n(j) \mathbb{E}(V_{n,i} V_{n,j} | \mathcal{G}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(j)^2 \mathbb{E}(V_{n,j}^2 | \mathcal{G}) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(i)^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}; j \neq i} |\mathbb{E}(V_{n,i} V_{n,j} | \mathcal{G})| \end{aligned}$$

by remarking that $N_n(i) N_n(j) \leq \frac{1}{2}(N_n(i)^2 + N_n(j)^2)$.

Then for any $j > i$, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}(V_{n,i} V_{n,j} | \mathcal{G})| &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left(V_{n,i} \mathbb{E}(V_{n,j} | \mathcal{M}_i) \middle| \mathcal{G} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}(V_{n,i}^2 | \mathcal{G})^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}(V_{n,j} | \mathcal{M}_i)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}(V_{n,i}^2 | \mathcal{G})^{1/2} \theta_{1,2}^\xi(j - i). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as $N_n(i) V_{n,i} = \varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) | \mathcal{G})$, we get

$$n^{-3/2} \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) | \mathcal{G}) \right)^2 \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left(C_n \left(\frac{\alpha(n, 0)}{n^{3/2}} \right) \right), \quad (6.16)$$

with $C_n = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\mathbb{E}(V_{n,i}^2 | \mathcal{G}) \right) + 2 \sqrt{\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\mathbb{E}(V_{n,i}^2 | \mathcal{G}) \right) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta_{1,2}^\xi(l)}$. It remains to prove that the right hand term in (6.16) converges to 0 as n goes to infinity.

We have, for n large enough,

$$\mathbb{E}(V_{n,i}^2 | \mathcal{G}) \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\xi_i^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi_i| > \varepsilon_n n^{3/4} / \max_j N_n(j)\}} \middle| \mathcal{G} \right), \quad (6.17)$$

so using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(C_n \left(\frac{\alpha(n, 0)}{n^{3/2}} \right) \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\Sigma_1(\varepsilon_n, n) \right) + 2 \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta_{1,2}^\xi(l) \right) \mathbb{E} \left(\Sigma_1(\varepsilon_n, n) \right)^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\alpha(n, 0)}{n^{3/2}} \right)^{1/2},$$

which tends to 0 from (ii)(a) of Proposition 4.1, assumption (A_2) from Theorem 3.2 and (6.14).

Define $Z_{n,i}$ by

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi_{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i})|\mathcal{G}).$$

By (6.15) we conclude that, to prove Theorem 3.2, it is enough to prove it for the truncated sequence $(Z_{n,i})_{n \geq 0, i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, that is to show that

$$\frac{1}{n^{3/4}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{n,i} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{\mathcal{D}} \sqrt{\sum_k \mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_k)} \Delta_1. \quad (6.18)$$

The proof is now a variation on the proof of Theorem 4.1 in Utev (1990). Let

$$d_t(X, Y) = |\mathbb{E}(e^{itX}|\mathcal{G}) - \mathbb{E}(e^{itY}|\mathcal{G})|.$$

Let η be a random variable with standard normal distribution, independent of the random walk $(S_k)_{k \geq 0}$. Let $(X_i)_{i=1, \dots, 3}$ be random variables such that for $i = 1, \dots, 3$, $\mathbb{E}(X_i|\mathcal{G}) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(X_i^2|\mathcal{G})$ is bounded. Let Y_1, Y_2 be random variables such that $\mathbb{E}(Y_i|\mathcal{G}) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(Y_i^2|\mathcal{G})$ is bounded for $i = 1, 2$. They are assumed to be independent conditionnally to the random walk. We define

$$A_t(X) = d_t\left(X, \eta \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X^2|\mathcal{G})}\right).$$

We first need some simple properties of d_t and A_t :

Lemma 6.1 (Lemma 4.3 in Utev, 1990)

$$\begin{aligned} A_t(X_1) &\leq \frac{2}{3}|t|^3 \mathbb{E}(|X_1|^3|\mathcal{G}), \\ A_t(Y_1 + Y_2) &\leq A_t(Y_1) + A_t(Y_2), \\ d_t(X_2 + X_3, X_2) &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \left(\mathbb{E}(X_3^2|\mathcal{G}) + (\mathbb{E}(X_2^2|\mathcal{G})\mathbb{E}(X_3^2|\mathcal{G}))^{1/2} \right), \\ d_t(\eta a, \eta b) &\leq \frac{t^2}{2}|a^2 - b^2|. \end{aligned}$$

We next need the following lemma :

Lemma 6.2 *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. There exists some positive constant $C(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $v \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $A_t(n^{-3/4} \sum_{i=a+1}^{a+v} Z_{n,i})$ is bounded by*

$$C(\varepsilon) \left(|t|^3 h^{2/\varepsilon} n^{-9/4} \sum_{i=a+1}^{a+v} \mathbb{E}(|Z_{n,i}|^3|\mathcal{G}) + t^2 \left(h^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}} + \sum_{j: 2j \geq h^{1/\varepsilon}} 2^{\frac{3j}{2}} g(2^{j\varepsilon}) \right) n^{-3/2} \sum_{i=a+1}^{a+v} N_n(i)^2 \right),$$

where h is an arbitrary positive natural number and with g introduced in Assumption (A_2) of Theorem 3.2.

Before proving Lemma 6.2, we achieve the proof of Theorem 3.2. We can decompose

$$\mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \frac{1}{n^{3/4}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{n,i}} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sqrt{\sum_k \mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_k)} \Delta_1} \right) \quad (6.19)$$

as the sum of $I_i(n), i = 1, \dots, 4$ where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(n) &= \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \frac{1}{n^{3/4}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{n,i}} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2n^{3/2}} \mathbb{E}((\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{n,i})^2 | \mathcal{G})} \right) \\ I_2(n) &= \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2n^{3/2}} \mathbb{E}((\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{n,i})^2 | \mathcal{G})} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2n^{3/2}} \mathbb{E}((\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{n,i})^2 | \mathcal{G})} \right) \\ I_3(n) &= \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2n^{3/2}} \mathbb{E}((\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{n,i})^2 | \mathcal{G})} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2n^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(i)^2 \mathbb{E}(\xi_i \xi_j)} \right) \\ I_4(n) &= \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2n^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(i)^2 \mathbb{E}(\xi_i \xi_j)} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sqrt{\sum_k \mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_k)} \Delta_1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 3.2, it is enough to prove that for any $i = 1, \dots, 4$, $I_i(n)$ goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Estimation of $I_1(n)$: Let us denote by M_n the random variable $\max_{k=0, \dots, n} |S_k|$. From Lemma 6.2, we have

$$|I_1(n)| \leq \mathbb{E} \left(A_t \left(n^{-3/4} \sum_{i=-M_n}^{M_n} Z_{n,i} \right) \right) \leq C(t, \varepsilon) \left(h^{2/\varepsilon} n^{-9/4} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(|Z_{n,i}|^3) + \delta(h) \right),$$

with $\delta(h) = \left(h^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}} + \sum_{j: 2j \geq h^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}} 2^{\frac{3j}{2}} g(2^j \varepsilon) \right) \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\alpha(n, 0)}{n^{3/2}} \right)$.

Hence using assumption (A_2) from Theorem 3.2 and $(ii)(a)$ from Proposition 4.1, we get $\delta(h) \xrightarrow[h \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0$.

On the other hand, from assumption (A_1) of Theorem 3.2, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$n^{-9/4} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(|Z_{n,i}|^3) \leq C \varepsilon_n \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\alpha(n, 0)}{n^{3/2}} \right) \quad (6.20)$$

which tends to zero as n tends to infinity, using $(ii)(a)$ from Proposition 4.1 and the fact that $\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0$. Consequently

$$\inf_{h \geq 1} \left(h^{2/\varepsilon} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(|Z_{n,i}|^3) + \delta(h) \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0.$$

Estimation of $I_2(n)$: Using that for any $x, y \geq 0$, $|e^{-x} - e^{-y}| \leq |x - y|$ and the fact that

$$Z_{n,i} = X_{n,i} - [\varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) | \mathcal{G})],$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2(n)| &\leq \frac{t^2}{n^{3/2}} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) | \mathcal{G}) \right)^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{n,i} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi^{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}}(X_{n,i}) | \mathcal{G}) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{n,i}\right)^2\right) &= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(N_n(i)N_n(j))\mathbb{E}(\xi_i \xi_j) \\
&= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(\alpha(n,i) - \alpha(n,0))\mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_i) + \mathbb{E}(\alpha(n,0)) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_i) \\
&\leq Cn^{3/2}
\end{aligned}$$

by combining (ii)(a)–(iii) of Proposition 4.1 and (3.5). Then, from (6.15), we deduce that $I_2(n)$ converges to 0.

Estimation of $I_3(n)$: We have

$$|I_3(n)| \leq \frac{t^2}{2n^{3/2}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(|\alpha(n,i) - \alpha(n,0)|) \mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_i) = o(1),$$

by combining (iii) of Proposition 4.1 and (3.5).

Estimation of $I_4(n)$: From (ii)(b) of Proposition 4.1, we know that the sequence

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2n^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(i)^2 \mathbb{E}(\xi_i \xi_j)}\right)$$

converges to $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_i) \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_1^2(x) dx}\right)$ which is equal to the characteristic function of the random variable $\sqrt{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_i)} \Delta_1$.

Proof of Lemma 6.2: Let $h \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. In the following, $C, C(\varepsilon)$ denote constants which may vary from line to line. Let κ_ε be a positive constant greater than 1 which will be precised further. Let $v < \kappa_\varepsilon h^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_t \left(n^{-3/4} \sum_{i=a+1}^{a+v} Z_{n,i} \right) &\leq \frac{2|t|^3}{3n^{9/4}} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{i=a+1}^{a+v} Z_{n,i} \right|^3 \middle| \mathcal{G} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{3n^{9/4}} \kappa_\varepsilon^2 |t|^3 h^{2/\varepsilon} \sum_{i=a+1}^{a+v} \mathbb{E}(|Z_{n,i}|^3 | \mathcal{G}) \tag{6.21}
\end{aligned}$$

since $|x|^3$ is a convex function.

Let now $v \geq \kappa_\varepsilon h^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $a = 0$. Let $\delta_\varepsilon = (1 - \varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon)/2$. Define then

$$\begin{aligned}
m &= [v^\varepsilon], \quad B = \left\{ u \in \mathbb{N} : 2^{-1}(v - [v^{\delta_\varepsilon}]) \leq um \leq 2^{-1}v \right\}, \\
A &= \left\{ u \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \leq u \leq v, \quad \sum_{i=um+1}^{(u+1)m} N_n(i)^2 \leq (m/v)^\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^v N_n(i)^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Following Utev (1991) we prove that, for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $A \cap B$ is not wide for v greater than κ_ε . We have indeed

$$|A \cap B| = |B| - |\overline{A} \cap B| \geq |B| - |\overline{A}| \geq \frac{v^{(1-\varepsilon^2)/2}}{2} \left(1 - 4v^{-(1-\varepsilon)^2/2} \right) - \frac{3}{2},$$

where \overline{A} denotes the complementary of the set A . We can find κ_ε large enough so that $|A \cap B|$ be positive.

Let $u \in A \cap B$. We start from the following simple identity

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\equiv n^{-3/4} \sum_{i=1}^v Z_{n,i} \\ &= n^{-3/4} \sum_{i=1}^{um} Z_{n,i} + n^{-3/4} \sum_{i=um+1}^{(u+1)m} Z_{n,i} + n^{-3/4} \sum_{i=(u+1)m+1}^v Z_{n,i} \\ &\equiv Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3. \end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

For any fixed $n \geq 0$, and any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $N_n(i) \neq 0$, define :

$$W_{n,i} = \varphi_{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}/N_n(i)}(\xi_i) - \mathbb{E} \left(\varphi_{\varepsilon_n n^{3/4}/N_n(i)}(\xi_i) \middle| \mathcal{G} \right).$$

If $N_n(i) = 0$, let $W_{n,i} = 0$. As for any fixed $n \geq 0$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function

$$x \mapsto \varphi_{\varepsilon_n \sigma_n/N_n(i)}(x) - \mathbb{E} \left(\varphi_{\varepsilon_n \sigma_n/N_n(i)}(\xi_i) \middle| \mathcal{G} \right)$$

is 1-Lipschitz, we have for any fixed path of the random walk, for all $l \geq 1$, for all $k \geq 1$,

$$\theta_{k,2}^{W_{\cdot,n}}(l) \leq \theta_{k,2}^\xi(l),$$

where $W_{\cdot,n} = (W_{n,i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\xi = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Hence, arguing as for the proof of inequality (6.16), we prove that for any fixed n , any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{i=a}^b Z_{n,i} \right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{G} \right) \leq C \sum_{i=a}^b N_n(i)^2 \tag{6.23}$$

with $C = 2\mathbb{E}(\xi_0^2) + 2\sqrt{2}\mathbb{E}(\xi_0^2)^{1/2} \sum_{l=1}^\infty \theta_{1,2}(l)$ which is finite from assumptions (A_1) and (A_2) . By Lemma 6.1,

$$d_t(Q, Q_1 + Q_3) = d_t(Q, Q - Q_2) \leq \frac{t^2}{2} \left(\mathbb{E}(Q_2^2 | \mathcal{G}) + \mathbb{E}(Q_2^2 | \mathcal{G})^{1/2} \mathbb{E}(Q^2 | \mathcal{G})^{1/2} \right). \tag{6.24}$$

Using (6.24) and (6.23), we get

$$d_t(Q, Q_1 + Q_3) \leq C t^2 v^{\frac{(\varepsilon-1)\varepsilon}{2}} n^{-3/2} \sum_{i=1}^v N_n(i)^2. \tag{6.25}$$

Now, given the random variables Q_1 and Q_3 , we define two random variables g_1 and g_3 which are assumed independent conditionally to the random walk $(S_k)_{k \geq 0}$ such that conditionnally to the random walk, the distribution of g_i coincides with that of Q_i , $i = 1, 3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_t(Q_1 + Q_3, g_1 + g_3) \\ &= \left| \mathbb{E}((e^{itQ_1} - 1)(e^{itQ_3} - 1) | \mathcal{G}) - \mathbb{E}(e^{itQ_1} - 1 | \mathcal{G}) \mathbb{E}(e^{itQ_3} - 1 | \mathcal{G}) \right| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}(|e^{itQ_1} - 1|^2 | \mathcal{G})^{1/2} \mathbb{E}(|\mathbb{E}(e^{itQ_3} - 1) - \mathbb{E}(e^{itQ_3} - 1 | \mathcal{G})| \mathcal{M}_{um}; \mathcal{G})^2 | \mathcal{G}) \\ &\leq 2|t| n^{-3/4} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^{um} Z_{n,i} \right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{G} \right)^{1/2} v |t| n^{-3/4} \left(\sum_{i=(u+1)m+1}^v N_n(i) \right) \theta_2^\xi(m+1) \\ &\leq C t^2 v^{3/2} n^{-3/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^v N_n(i)^2 \right) g(v^\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

by (6.23), Definition 2.2 and Assumption (A_2) of Theorem 3.2. Hence

$$d_t(Q_1 + Q_3, g_1 + g_3) \leq Ct^2 f(v) n^{-3/2} \sum_{i=1}^v N_n(i)^2, \quad (6.26)$$

where $f(v) = v^{3/2} g(v^\varepsilon)$ is non-increasing by assumption (A_2) of Theorem 3.2.

We also have by Lemma 6.1

$$A_t(g_1 + g_3) \leq A_t(g_1) + A_t(g_3). \quad (6.27)$$

Finally, still by Lemma 6.1, and using Definition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_t \left(\eta \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(Q^2|\mathcal{G})}, \eta \sqrt{\mathbb{E}((g_1 + g_3)^2|\mathcal{G})} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{t^2}{2} |\mathbb{E}(Q^2|\mathcal{G}) - \mathbb{E}((g_1 + g_3)^2|\mathcal{G})| \end{aligned} \quad (6.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \frac{t^2}{2} |\mathbb{E}(Q_2^2|\mathcal{G}) + 2\mathbb{E}(Q_1 Q_2|\mathcal{G}) + 2\mathbb{E}(Q_2 Q_3|\mathcal{G}) + 2\mathbb{E}(Q_1 Q_3|\mathcal{G})| \\ & \leq Ct^2 \left(v^{\frac{(\varepsilon-1)\varepsilon}{2}} + f(v) \right) n^{-3/2} \sum_{i=1}^v N_n(i)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

Combining (6.25)-(6.29), we get the following recurrent inequality :

$$\begin{aligned} A_t \left(n^{-3/4} \sum_{i=1}^v Z_{n,i} \right) & \leq A_t \left(n^{-3/4} \sum_{i=1}^{um} Z_{n,i} \right) + A_t \left(n^{-3/4} \sum_{i=(u+1)m+1}^v Z_{n,i} \right) \\ & + Ct^2 \left(v^{\frac{(\varepsilon-1)\varepsilon}{2}} + f(v) \right) n^{-3/2} \sum_{i=1}^v N_n(i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $v \geq \kappa_\varepsilon h^\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \geq \kappa_\varepsilon$.

We then need the following Lemma, which is a variation on Lemma 1.2. in Utev (1991).

Lemma 6.3 *For every $\varepsilon \in]0, 1[$, denote $\delta_\varepsilon = (1 - \varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon)/2$. Let a non-decreasing sequence of non-negative numbers $a(n)$ be specified, such that there exist non-increasing sequences of non-negative numbers $\varepsilon(k)$, $\gamma(k)$ and a sequence of naturals $T(k)$, satisfying conditions*

$$T(k) \leq 2^{-1}(k + [k^{\delta_\varepsilon}]),$$

$$a(k) \leq \max_{k_0 \leq s \leq k} (a(T(s)) + \gamma(s))$$

for any $k \geq k_0$ with an arbitrary $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then

$$a(n) \leq a(n_0) + 2 \sum_{k_0 \leq 2^j \leq n} \gamma(2^j),$$

for any $n \geq k_0$, where one can take $n_0 = 2^c$ with $c > \frac{2-\delta_\varepsilon}{1-\delta_\varepsilon}$.

Proof of Lemma 6.3: The proof follows essentially the same lines as the proof of Lemma 1.2. in Utev (1991) and therefore is omitted here. \triangle

We now apply Lemma 6.3 above with

- ★ $k_0 = \kappa_\varepsilon h^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}},$
- ★ for $k \geq k_0$, $T(k) = \max \{u_k m_k, k - u_k m_k - m_k\}$ where u_k and m_k are defined from k as u and m from v (see the proof of $A \cap B$ not wide),
- ★ $c < \frac{\ln(\kappa_\varepsilon)}{\ln(2)}$ (we may need to enlarge κ_ε),
- ★ for $s \geq k_0$, $\gamma(s) = C t^2 \left(s^{\frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon-1)}{2}} + f(s) \right),$
- ★ for $s \geq k_0$, $a(s) = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \max_{k_0 \leq i \leq s} \frac{A_t \left(n^{-3/4} \sum_{j=l+1}^{l+i} Z_{n,j} \right)}{n^{-3/2} \sum_{j=l+1}^{l+i} N_n(j)^2}.$

Applying Lemma 6.3 yields the statement of Lemma 6.2.

Proof of the tightness:

By Theorem 13.5 of Billingsley (1999), it suffices to prove that there exists $K > 0$ such that for all $t, t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$, $T < \infty$, s.t. $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(|\Sigma_{[nt]} - \Sigma_{[nt_1]}| \cdot |\Sigma_{[nt_2]} - \Sigma_{[nt]}| \right) \leq K n^{3/2} |t_2 - t_1|^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (6.30)$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it is enough to prove that there exists $K > 0$ such that for all $t_1 \leq t$, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(|\Sigma_{[nt]} - \Sigma_{[nt_1]}|^2 \right) \leq K n^{3/2} |t - t_1|^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (6.31)$$

Since $ab \leq (a^2 + b^2)/2$ for any real a, b , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(|\Sigma_{[nt]} - \Sigma_{[nt_1]}|^2 \right) &\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathbb{E}(\xi_0 \xi_i)| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E} \left((N_{[nt]}(j) - N_{[nt_1]}(j))^2 \right) \\ &= C \mathbb{E} \left(\alpha([nt] - [nt_1] - 1, 0) \right) \leq C n^{3/2} |t - t_1|^{3/2} \end{aligned}$$

using (3.5), the Markov property for the random walk S and (ii)-(a) of Proposition 4.1. \triangle

References

- [1] D. W. K. Andrews (1984). Nonstrong mixing autoregressive processes. *J. Appl. Probab.* 21, p. 930-934.
- [2] A. D. Barbour, R. M. Gerrard and G. Reinert (2000). Iterates of expanding maps. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 116, p. 151-180.
- [3] H. C. P. Berbee (1979). Random walks with stationary increments and renewal theory. *Math. Cent. Tracts.* Amsterdam.
- [4] P. Billingsley (1999). Convergence of probability measures, *2nd edition*, Wiley, New York.
- [5] E. Bolthausen (1989). A central limit theorem for two-dimensional random walks in random sceneries. *Ann. Probab.* 17, p. 108-115.

- [6] A.N. Borodin (1979). Limit theorems for sums of independent random variables defined on a transient random walk. *Investigations in the theory of probability distributions, IV. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov.(LOMI)* 85, 17–29, 237, 244.
- [7] A.N. Borodin (1979). A limit theorem for sums of independent random variables defined on a recurrent random walk. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* 246, no4, p. 786–787.
- [8] B. Cadre (1995). Etude de convergence en loi de fonctionnelles de processus: Formes quadratiques ou multilinéaires aléatoires, Temps locaux d’intersection de marches aléatoires, Théorème central limite presque sûr. PHD Thesis, Université Rennes 1.
- [9] X. Chen and D. Khoshnevisan (2008). From charged polymers to random walk in random scenery. Preprint available at <http://www.math.utk.edu/~xchen/publications.html>
- [10] P. Collet, S. Martinez and B. Schmitt (2002). Exponential inequalities for dynamical measures of expanding maps of the interval. *Probab. Theory. Relat. Fields* 123, p. 301-322.
- [11] J. Dedecker and C. Prieur (2005). New dependence coefficients. Examples and applications to statistics. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 132, p. 203-236.
- [12] J. Dedecker, P. Doukhan, G. Lang, J. R. Leon, S. Louhichi and C. Prieur. Weak dependence: With Examples and Applications. Lect. notes in Stat. 190. Springer, XIV (2007).
- [13] C. Dombry and N. Guillin-Plantard (2008). Discrete approximation of a stable self-similar stationary increments process. To appear in *Bernoulli*.
- [14] R.L. Dobrushin and P. Major (1979). Non-central limit theorems for non-linear functionals of Gaussian fields. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* 50, 27-52.
- [15] P. Doukhan and S. Louhichi (1999). A new weak dependence condition and applications to moment inequalities. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 84, p. 313-342.
- [16] N. Guillin-Plantard and C. Prieur (2008). Central limit theorem for sampled sums of dependent random variables. To appear in *ESAIM P&S*.
- [17] N. Guillin-Plantard and A. Le Ny (2007). Transient random walks on 2d-oriented lattices. *Theory of Probability and Its Applications (TVP)*, Vol. 52, no 4, p. 815–826.
- [18] N. Guillin-Plantard and A. Le Ny (2008). A functional limit theorem for a 2d- random walk with dependent marginals. *Electronic Communications in Probability* Vol. 13, p. 337–351.
- [19] F. Hofbauer and G. Keller (1982). Ergodic properties of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations. *Math. Z.* 180, p. 119-140.
- [20] I. A. Ibragimov (1962). Some limit theorems for stationary processes. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 7, p. 349-382.
- [21] T.G. Kurtz and P. Protter (1991). Wong-Zakai corrections, Random evolutions, and numerical schemes for SEDs. In *Stochastic analysis*, Academic press, p. 331-346.
- [22] R. Lang and N.X. Xanh (1983). Strongly correlated random fields as observed by a random walker. *Probab. Theory and Related Fields* 64, p. 327-340.
- [23] A. Lasota and J. A. Yorke (1974). On the existence of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 186, p. 481-488.
- [24] M. Maejima (1996). Limit theorems related to a class of operator-self-similar processes. *Nagoya Math. J.* 142, p. 161-181.

- [25] F. Merlevède and M. Peligrad (2002). On the coupling of dependent random variables and applications. *Empirical process techniques for dependent data.*, p. 171-193. Birkhäuser.
- [26] T. Morita (1994). Local limit theorem and distribution of periodic orbits of Lasota-Yorke transformations with infinite Markov partition. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 46, p. 309-343.
- [27] M. Rosenblatt (1956). A central limit theorem and a strong mixing condition. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 42, p. 43-47.
- [28] Y. A. Rozanov and V. A. Volkonskii (1959). Some limit theorems for random functions I. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 4, p. 178-197.
- [29] F.L. Spitzer (1976). Principles of random walks. Second Edition, Springer, New York.
- [30] S. A. Utev (1990). Central limit theorem for dependent random variables. *Probab. Theory Math. Statist.* 2, p. 519-528.
- [31] S. A. Utev (1991). Sums of random variables with φ -mixing. *Siberian Advances in Mathematics* 1, 3, p.124-155.
- [32] C. S. Withers (1981). Central limit theorems for dependent variables. I. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 57, 4, p. 509-534. (Corrigendum in *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 63, 4, (1983), p. 555).